1	Senate Bill No. 504
2	(By Senators Wills, Snyder, Tucker and Yost)
3	
4	[Introduced February 2, 2012; referred to the Committee on the
5	Judiciary.]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	A BILL to amend and reenact $\$55-7B-9$ of the Code of West Virginia,
11	1931, as amended, relating to several liability for health
12	care providers.
13	Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:
14	That §55-7B-9 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended,
15	be amended and reenacted to read as follows:
16	ARTICLE 7B. MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY.
17	§55-7B-9. Several liability.
18	(a) In the trial of a medical professional liability action
19	under this article involving multiple defendants, the trier of fact
20	shall report its findings on a form provided by the court which
21	contains each of the possible verdicts as determined by the court.
22	Unless otherwise agreed by all the parties to the action, the jury
23	shall be instructed to answer special interrogatories, or the

1 court, acting without a jury, shall make findings as to:

2 (1) The total amount of compensatory damages recoverable by3 the plaintiff;

4 (2) The portion of the damages that represents damages for 5 noneconomic loss;

6 (3) The portion of the damages that represents damages for 7 each category of economic loss;

8 (4) The percentage of fault, if any, attributable to each 9 plaintiff; and

10 (5) The percentage of fault, if any, attributable to each of 11 the defendants.

(b) In assessing percentages of fault, the trier of fact shall consider only the fault of the parties in the litigation at the time the verdict is rendered and shall may not consider the fault of any other person who has settled a claim with the plaintiff arising out of the same medical injury. *Provided*, That, upon the reation of the patient injury compensation fund provided for in article twelve-c, chapter twenty-nine of this code, or of some other mechanism for compensating a plaintiff for any amount of economic damages awarded by the trier of fact which the plaintiff has been unable to collect, the trier of fact shall, in assessing percentages of fault, consider the fault of all alleged parties, including the fault of any person who has settled a claim with the plaintiff arising out of the same medical injury.

2012R1837S

1 (c) If the trier of fact renders a verdict for the plaintiff, 2 the court shall enter judgment of several, but not joint, liability 3 against each defendant in accordance with the percentage of fault 4 attributed to the defendant by the trier of fact.

5 (d) To determine the amount of judgment to be entered against 6 each defendant, the court shall first, after adjusting the verdict 7 as provided in section nine-a of this article, reduce the adjusted 8 verdict by the amount of any preverdict settlement arising out of 9 the same medical injury. The court shall then, with regard to each 10 defendant, multiply the total amount of damages remaining, with 11 interest, by the percentage of fault attributed to each defendant 12 by the trier of fact. The resulting amount of damages, together 13 with any post-judgment interest accrued, shall be the maximum 14 recoverable against the defendant.

(e) Upon the creation of the patient injury compensation fund provided for in article twelve-c, chapter twenty-nine of this code, or of some other mechanism for compensating a plaintiff for any amount of economic damages awarded by the trier of fact which the plaintiff has been unable to collect, the court shall, in determining the amount of judgment to be entered against each defendant, first multiply the total amount of damages, with interest, recoverable by the plaintiff by the percentage of each defendant's fault and that amount, together with any post-judgment interest accrued, is the maximum recoverable against said

1 defendant. Prior to the court's entry of the final judgment order 2 as to each defendant against whom a verdict was rendered, the court 3 shall reduce the total jury verdict by any amounts received by a 4 plaintiff in settlement of the action. When any defendant's 5 percentage of the verdict exceeds the remaining amounts due 6 plaintiff after the mandatory reductions, each defendant shall be 7 liable only for the defendant's pro rata share of the remainder of 8 the verdict as calculated by the court from the remaining 9 defendants to the action. The plaintiff's total award may never 10 exceed the jury's verdict less any statutory or court-ordered 11 reductions.

12 (f) (e) Nothing in this section is meant to eliminate or 13 diminish eliminates or diminishes any defenses or immunities which 14 exist as of the effective date of this section, except as expressly 15 noted in this section.

16 (g) (f) Nothing in this article is meant to preclude precludes 17 a health care provider from being held responsible for the portion 18 of fault attributed by the trier of fact to any person acting as 19 the health care provider's agent or servant or to preclude 20 imposition of fault otherwise imputable or attributable to the 21 health care provider under claims of vicarious liability. A health 22 care provider may not be held vicariously liable for the acts of a 23 nonemployee pursuant to a theory of ostensible agency unless the 24 alleged agent does not maintain professional liability insurance

1 covering the medical injury which is the subject of the action in

2 the aggregate amount of at least \$1 million.

NOTE: The purpose of this bill is to eliminate the statutory provisions which conflict with the rule-making authority vested in the judiciary including: (a) The creation of the statutory "empty chair" defense by mandating the procedure of including nonparties on the verdict form in violation of *Louk v. Cormier*, 218 W.Va. 81, 622 S.E. 2d 788 (2005); (b) permitting a verdict credit in the absence of a joint liability in violation of *Pennington v. Bluefield Orthopedics*, *P.C.*, 187 W.Va. 344, 419 S.E. 2d 8 (1992); and (c) permitting the calculation of a verdict credit in violation of *Board of Education of McDowell Co. v. Zando, Martin & Milstead*, 182 W.Va. 597, 390 S.E. 2d 796(1990).

Strike-throughs indicate language that would be stricken from the present law, and underscoring indicates new language that would be added.